



COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS ON TUESDAY, 6TH OCTOBER 2020 AT 5.00PM

PRESENT:

Councillor C. Andrews - Mayor
Councillor J. Gale - Deputy Mayor

Councillors:

M. Adams, Mrs E.M. Aldworth, A.P. Angel, J. Bevan, P.J. Bevan, C. Bezzina, L.J. Binding, A. Collis, S. Cook, C. Cuss, W. David, D.T. Davies, M. Davies, N. Dix, C. Elsbury, K. Etheridge, M. Evans, A. Farina-Childs, Mrs C. Forehead, Miss E. Forehead, J.E. Fussell, A. Gair, N. George, C. Gordon, R.W. Gough, D.T. Hardacre, L. Harding, D. Havard, A.G. Higgs, A. Hussey, M.P. James, L. Jeremiah, G. Johnston, Mrs B.A. Jones, S. Kent, G. Kirby, C.P. Mann, P. Marsden, B. Miles, S. Morgan, B. Owen, Mrs T. Parry, Mrs L. Phipps, D.V. Poole, D.W.R. Preece, J. Pritchard, J. Ridgewell, J.E. Roberts, R. Saralis, Mrs M.E. Sargent, J. Scriven, G. Simmonds, J. Simmonds, S. Skivens, Mrs E. Stenner, J. Taylor, C. Thomas, A. Whitcombe, R. Whiting, L G. Whittle, T. Williams, W. Williams, B. Zaplatynski.

Together with:-

C. Harrhy (Interim Chief Executive), R. Tranter (Head of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer), M.S. Williams (Interim Corporate Director of Communities), D. Street (Corporate Director Social Services), S. Harris (Head of Financial Services and Section 151 Officer), R. Edmunds (Corporate Director Education and Corporate Services), R. Hartshorn (Head of Public Protection), K. Peters (Policy Manager), A. Cullinane (Senior Policy Officer Equalities, Welsh Language and Consultation), C. Forbes-Thompson (Scrutiny Manager), S. Couzens (Housing Manager), E. Sullivan (Senior Committee Services Officer).

RECORDING AND VOTING ARRANGEMENTS

The Chief Executive reminded those present that the meeting was being recorded and would be made publicly available via the Council's website. She advised that voting would be recorded via Microsoft Teams Forms.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors D. Cushing, K. Dawson, C. Forehead, E. Forehead, J. Fussell, D. Hardacre, D. Harse, V. James, A. Leonard, P. Leonard, G. Oliver and D. Price.

2. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Mayor advised that due to continuing local lock down restrictions there had been only one civic event held and that was a recorded message and delivery of flowers to commemorate a Golden Wedding Anniversary.

3. TO RECEIVE PETITIONS UNDER RULE OF PROCEDURE 28(03)

The Mayor confirmed that no petitions had been received.

4. PRESENTATION OF AWARDS

The Mayor confirmed that they were no awards to be presented.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest received at the commencement or during the meeting.

STATEMENT FROM THE CABINET MEMBER FOR SOCIAL CARE – COUNCILLOR SHAYNE COOK

The Mayor advised Council that with her agreement the Cabinet Member for Social Care would be making a short statement, after which there would be no questions.

The Cabinet Member referred to an article that had been published on the Wales Online website which referred to a high number of coronavirus cases across care homes within the Caerphilly County Borough. The article contained information and comments provided by a Plaid Cymru Regional Assembly Member and contained several serious inaccuracies, the main one being a claim that over a third of our care homes have covid-19 cases.

The article stated that 10 out of 27 care homes in the County Borough have ongoing incidents of Coronavirus. This was simply incorrect as there were 11 COVID incidents over 121 care facilities and not 27 facilities as stated in the article.

The article went on to state that there is “no final agreement on whether people being discharged from hospital into Welsh care homes would actually be tested”. This is also incorrect and misleading.

Caerphilly County Borough Council has an agreement with all the other Gwent Local Authorities and Aneurin Bevan University Health Board which all adhere to in terms of negative tests prior to any admission to or return to a home. No one is admitted to a home from hospital or the community without first having tested negative for the virus.

The Cabinet Member expressed his astonishment that a Regional Assembly Member provided such misleading information at a time when no one should be seeking to make political capital out of a very challenging situation. After reading the Wales Online article, many residents were very concerned and scared that their relatives were in danger. The Cabinet Member wanted to reassure Caerphilly residents that we are doing our very best to stop the spread of COVID 19 and paid thanks to all the staff in our care homes who have gone above and beyond to protect residents from COVID 19.

6. MINUTES – SPECIAL COUNCIL HELD ON 20TH FEBRUARY 2020

RESOLVED that the minutes of Special Council held on the 20th February 2020 be approved as a correct record.

7. MINUTES – COUNCIL HELD ON 3RD MARCH 2020

RESOLVED that the minutes of Council held on 3rd March 2020 be approved as a correct record.

8. MINUTES – SPECIAL COUNCIL HELD ON 10TH MARCH 2020

RESOLVED that the minutes of Special Council held on the 20th March 2020 be approved as a correct record.

9. MINUTES – ANNUAL COUNCIL HELD ON 3RD SEPTEMBER 2020

RESOLVED that the minutes of the Annual Meeting of Council held on the 3rd September 2020 be approved as a correct record.

10. TO RECEIVE AND TO ANSWER QUESTIONS RECEIVED UNDER RULE OF PROCEDURE 10 (2)

To the Leader of Council from Councillor Graham Simmonds.

To make available performance assessments/reports for the knowledgeable and experienced Cabinet Members for Caerphilly County Borough Council, for the past few years?

Response from the Leader of Council Councillor P. Marsden.

Thank you for the question Cllr Simmonds. It appears from the way you have written your question that you would like me to 'make available' performance assessments for my Cabinet colleagues over the past few years. It's the words 'make available' that I'm struggling with. Let me explain. The following information is already publicly available via the Council's website and has been for many years.

Annual Reports for Individual Members which, for Cabinet Members include a specific section on Cabinet Member Activity as well as Ward Activity, Attendance and so on. An Annual Performance Report to Council which covers performance across all Cabinet Portfolios. This is next due to be received by Council on the 29th October. This report summarises the Council's performance against our well-being objectives; our financial performance; our performance against the Public Accountability Measures; our Regulators views on our services and an update on our progress against the Well-being Act 2015. Each Scrutiny Committee receives annual performance reports associated with the Council's progress against each of the adopted Well-being Objectives. Scrutiny Committees also receive specific reports on key performance matters as they arise throughout the year, such as Key Stage 4 performance across Schools. Audit Wales deliver an Annual Improvement Report to Cabinet and full Council that provides us with the Auditor General's view on whether Caerphilly is meeting its statutory requirements in relation to continuous improvement and the Council receives and publicises regular Reports from Regulators assessing and commenting on our performance.

Cllr Simmonds should also be aware that Cabinet approved a new performance framework at its meeting of the 26th February 2020. The framework introduced a Corporate Performance Assessment (CPA) Dashboard that is to be received twice yearly by Cabinet as well as

Directorate Performance Assessments, data from which will be received by Scrutiny Committees twice yearly. These will be received for the first time over the autumn and winter.

With all of this publicly available, and with multiple and regular opportunities for Scrutiny and Full Council to consider every aspect of Council Performance in place, I'm not sure what else Councillor Simmonds would like me to make available.

By way of a supplementary question, Cllr Simmonds referred to previous comments made by the Leader of Council about new beginnings and a shared journey into transformation and referenced his view that various elements of this commitment had fallen short. The Mayor reminded the Member that he was entitled to single supplementary question and was exceeding this in his address. The Member advised he would therefore withdraw the question and would email all Members following the meeting.

11. TO RECEIVED AND TO ANSWER QUESTIONS RECEIVED UNDER RULE OF PROCEDURE 10(4)

To the Cabinet Member for Finance, Performance and Customer Service from Councillor Kevin Etheridge.

To ask the Cabinet Member for Finance, Performance and Customer Service does she believe adequate resources are in place in the Information Unit to deal with requests in the specified time scale allowed under the legislation during the last 4 months, and can these resources be defined in meeting deadlines.

Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance, Performance and Customer Service.

Since March, the Council has been responding to the Covid 19 pandemic, and staff from all service areas, including Corporate Information Governance Unit (CIGU), have had to take on other essential tasks. In the early weeks of lockdown, information requests decreased in number significantly, and CIGU staff spent time supporting the Community Response, however over time request numbers increased back to their usual volume.

The response timescales for information requests during this period were longer than usual, to reflect the fact that service areas and CIGU were unable to process requests as promptly as usual, as staff across the Council have been diverted to the pandemic response. However, the regulator for both freedom of information and data protection, the Information Commissioner, publicised her pragmatic and empathetic approach to regulation during the pandemic, in light of the requirement for organisations to redirect resources to support their communities.

CIGU staff are back to their substantive roles, but staff across service areas are still working on the Covid response. We are currently monitoring compliance timescales and addressing the backlog of requests that has accumulated, and if compliance timescales need to be improved this will be considered alongside the response to the pandemic.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS

12. NOTICE OF MOTION TO REVIEW DECISION TO SWITCH OFF STREET LIGHTS

Consideration was given to the notice of motion proposed by Cllr Kevin Etheridge.

In support of his notice of motion Cllr Etheridge advised that it had been written in January and was due to be discussed by Scrutiny in March but was deferred by COVID. He explained that the motion looked at several areas and was written as a result of between 40-60

complaints he had received from residents in Blackwood. He was also of the opinion that the consultation response had been too low a percentage to accurately gauge public feeling on the matter with only 1488 responses from a population of 173,00 equating to a response from only 0.83% of the community. His second point related to the 2018 report which noted that further consultation may be needed prior to implementation, this he believes had not been undertaken.

His third point related to the lack of assessment of protected characteristics within the equality impact assessment when taking the decision, there were subjective conclusion drawn as to the potential impact on those with a visual impairment and the elderly and seemed primarily to be used to promote the case for carbon reduction and the protection of bats which, to his mind had nothing to do with the proposals. He also felt that this issue was not just about tackling crime but also the fear of crime and referred to how for over 6 decades, street lighting has been a vital part community safety. In concluding his motion he referenced that 2 reports had been considered by scrutiny on this matter the only difference between the two being the impact on the highway budget, as the first report and had not been supported, the only conclusion that he could draw was this matter was more about the budget deficit than residents.

The Member also sort clarification regarding what risk assessments had been completed before the decision was taken, what other risk assessments have been done since and moved that the decision on the motion be by way of recorded vote.

A Member seconded the motion and the call for a recorded vote.

In supporting the motion, the Member confirmed that he had no issues with saving money and cutting carbon but felt that community safety had to be revisited now that the programme was underway and its impact on residents could be properly gauged. The Member referenced information provided by Inspector Aled George of Gwent Police, in which he stated an increase in dwelling burglaries which the Member felt were the result of part night lighting. The Member then referenced levels of crime in the Lansbury Park area and that for this reason lights there had been switched back on and asked that Officers clarify this point.

The Officer confirmed that the information in relation to Lansbury Park was incorrect, lights had not been switched back on and explained that assurance had been given that this was a perception arising from the switch off but there had in fact been no increase in crime as confirmed by Gwent Police. The Officer also confirmed that although a risk assessment was not undertaken prior the decision being taken, a risk analysis has been undertaken on each area and the data on each can be circulated to Members following the meeting.

A Member expressed his concern regarding the motions request for a review as his understanding was that all Council policies are reviewed regularly anyway and kept under constant review as time goes on, which surely made the request redundant. The Member then sought clarification as to how much carbon reduction in tonnes will be achieved by part night lighting. The Officer confirmed that once fully operational at end of December 2840 tonne carbon reduction would be achieved per annum from part night lighting and LED conversion.

A Member sought clarification as to the cost of taking out the current fittings and was advised that there had already been a £4.5m investment, removal would cost approximately £300k but there would also be a significant cost increase for energy supplies by moving away as well as increased carbon emissions. Members noted that the yearly cash saving achieved by part night lighting and LED conversion was £940k.

A Member referenced issues within his own ward regarding the phased switch off as they currently did not seem to be going on and off correctly. The Officer confirmed that the

photocells continually try and calculate the mid night point, and this can vary but now that we were approaching the winter months it should find that point.

A Member referenced a statement from 'Investing in Brighter Futures' by the World Bank which noted a range of social economic benefits in terms of well-lit streets and also referenced the National Centre Biotechnology on the perceived neglect of removing non statutory services such as street lighting and urged Members to consider this when the next round of budget savings proposals came forward.

A concern was then raised by another Member that Council in his view failed to correctly investigate part night lighting and that wider risk assessments and not been completed on the options. He referenced a section on the earlier report stating that wider risk assessments may be considered prior to implementation, he believed that these had not been undertaken which he felt was contrary to British Standards. He also queried why options like dimming had not be considered prior to implementation.

The Officer confirmed that risk assessments had undertaken in each area prior to installation work and accepted that this had not been done prior to the decision being taken. However, before switch over all areas were assessed however the Member had failed to take into account up-front investment in central management system technology of £1.4m and that the old LED system was not compatible and would have required upgrading costing a further £1.5m. In response to questions in relation to health and safety he advised that accident statistics had shown a slight reduction.

The Member accepted the additional management system costs but felt that this would have been less expensive if implemented at the beginning but was still of the opinion that this required a review.

The Officer further advised Members regarding the British Standard quoted and confirmed that this code of practice was not legislation but industry guidance. The relevant Legislation is the Highways Act and that gives powers to Local Authorities (in their role as Highway Authorities) to turn on, off, amend and remove street lights and emphasised that we do not have to provide street lighting. Furthermore the Act gives Highway Authorities the power to switch off and/or remove existing street lights without a requirement to replace them if they see fit. . In terms of the email from the Member regarding the central management system and estimate savings levels contained therein the Officer further confirmed that the Council LEDs were 20w a very low wattage and would not achieve the savings as estimated as they had been based on a 60w.

A Member then raised concerns about accident statistics quoted and if they related to pedestrian or vehicle accidents, in his own ward he had received complaints from people living in streets that do not have frontages and have to leave refuse bins out on pavements overnight which are being walked into.

The Officer confirmed that he could circulate the accident statistics after the meeting as the information had only just come in and he had not been able to complete a full analysis. However, the high-level data he had looked at related to vehicle or vehicle/pedestrian but would not pick up slips, trips and falls. He was not aware of any complaints about bins, of those received he explained that 74 related to the new LEDs being too bright, 57 that they provided no overspill light and 96 related to part night lighting, of those the majority were informal contacts and only 2 of those progressed to stage 2 complaints and if there were any areas of particular concern he would be more than happy to pick up these up with Members after the meeting.

A Member reminded those present of the climate emergency status and the need to protect this for future generations and saw this as a start along that process. However, he was often

asked about Council office lighting and Penallta House and queried whether this would be part of a similar reduction programme.

The Deputy Leader confirmed that this lighting would come under the facilities management budget not highways and as such would not be part of the report however, he was able to give assurances that this was under a similar process of review, reduction and switch off and had already been implemented in some areas.

A Member referenced comments made at a previous meeting about respecting the views of the scrutiny committee and reminded those present that this motion had not been supported by scrutiny, as it mentioned only historical procedures and did not seek to take issues forward. He noted that no reference had been made to the biodiversity debate and read a contact email from a resident of the borough which urged Members to consider its duty to embrace the benefits to the natural environment. The Member then reference the work of Dr C McGreggor regarding environmental impact and nocturnal night life, his feeling was that the money to revise could be better spent elsewhere or should the supporters of the motion want to revise this policy that they come back with views on how to revise the budget in order to meet additional costs. Reference was also made to the positive impact for the darker sky's community.

Clarification was sought as to whether there was facility in new system to switch lights on if required. Officers confirmed that this could be done if required and that they worked closely with police on this. As to the increase in burglaries it was noted that the majority take place during the daytime. The Member was reassured that should police come forward with concerns there was a means to respond.

A Member urged Council to rethink this decision and support the motion in order to fulfil its duty to the those living alone, the elderly and shift workers and listen to those that had signed petitions and made complaints. In support of the comments of the previous speaker a Member felt it important that residents voicing concerns were listened to and the programme be reviewed.

Reference was made to earlier comments on the Ty Penallta Lights and CCTV camera operation and queried if lights were left on for CCTV camera operation in Ystrad Mynach town centre. The Officer confirmed that the Town Centre lights remain on for the very purposes of CCTV and for the safety of Town Centres.

Members were reminded of the unanimous support for the declaration of a Climate Emergency and the terrible consequence of the storms experienced this year that clearly illustrated the impact and costs of climate change. That there was a duty to future generations to make the right decisions, granted these would not be easy decisions, part night lighting presented a modesty change to everyday lives but would have a big environmental impact. By not standing by this decision now, he felt we were condemning our future generations to the devastation of climate change.

A Member recalled the cross party support for the conversion to LED and then later support for the switch to part night lighting which these same Members were now advocating a reversal and felt that no one could support that. He urged Members to consider the financial and infrastructure implications. He acknowledged the concerns about safety at home, but the statistics did not support this, rather it is the perception of increased crime and there was the need to reassure residents of this perception. Studies had shown that there is no link between switching off lights and increasing crime. No requests have been received from the Police requesting that this policy be changed, if there had been then this would be looked at. As most break ins occur in the afternoons and early evening not during part night lighting hours. He referred again to the impact on the environment and the devastation of climate change and the importance that we act on this now or face irreversible damage to the natural world.

Cllr Etheridge as proposer of the motion brought the debate to a conclusion and emphasised that the motion only requested a review of the decision on Part Night Lighting and that alone and requested that when making the decision Members consider this and the impact on communities.

The request for a recorded vote having been moved and seconded, the Monitoring Officer verified that 10 Members had supported the proposal and a recorded vote was taken.

For the Motion:

Councillors: A. Angel, P. Bevan, L. Binding, N. Dix, K. Etheridge, A. Farina Childs, R. Gough, M. James, S. Kent, C. Mann, B. Owen, T. Parry, J. Roberts, M.E. Sargent, J. Scriven, G. Simmonds, S. Skivens, J. Taylor, L. Whittle (19)

Against the Motion:

Councillors: M. Adams, E.M. Aldworth, C. Andrews, J. Bevan, C. Bezzina, A. Collis, S. Cook, C. Cuss, W. David, M. Davies, D.T. Davies, M. Evans, A. Gair, J. Gale, N. George, C. Gordon, L. Harding, D. Havard, A. Higgs, A. Hussey, L. Jeremiah, G. Johnston, B. Jones, G. Kirby, P. Marsden, B. Miles, S. Morgan, L. Phipps, D. Poole, D. Preece, J. Pritchard, J. Ridgewell, R. Saralis, J. Simmonds, E. Stenner, C. Thomas, A. Whitcombe, R. Whiting, T. Williams, W. Williams, B. Zaplatynski (41)

The motion was declared lost.

RESOLVED that the motion not be supported.

13. NOTICE OF MOTION – UNITY OVER DIVISION CHARTER

Consideration was given to the notice of motion proposed by Cllr Philippa Marsden.

In support of her notice of motion Cllr Marsden referred to the huge social and political changes that the country has seen over the last few years and the fact that this had given rise to major social divisions especially along the lines of race, ethnicity, religion and nationality. One of the most worrying consequences of this being the sharp rise in reported race hate crimes which had increased by almost 30% since 2016. The Unity Over Division Charter would be a commitment by this Council to eradicate racial discrimination and intolerance in all its guises.

Cllr Marsden thanked those who had supported the motion and advised that she would also like to add to the proposals that a Members webinar on Hate Crime Awareness be held.

Members voiced their support for the motion and for the webinar.

Having been moved and seconded and by way of Microsoft Forms and verbal confirmation the motion was unanimously supported.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) the notice of motion be supported;
- (ii) An Inclusion Champion be appointed, who will be the lead person for the Unity over Division Charter agenda, with the support of Trade Unions and the Council to collaborate, monitor, facilitate and promote workplace inclusion wherever possible.

- (iii) Ensure that all staff members including schools are given mandatory training on how to adhere to the Council's Equality and Diversity Policy and will ensure that this document is reviewed annually.
- (iv) Together with Trade Unions provide informative and up to date materials aimed at helping to promote equality and harmony within the workplace.
- (v) Stand together with Trade Unions to condemn incidents where there are local and national examples of hate crime and discrimination.
- (vi) Work with all appropriate other agencies and organisations to promote cohesion inside and outside the workplace.
- (vii) A Members webinar on Hate Crime Awareness and the Charter Over Division be held.

14. STRATEGIC EQUALITY PLAN, OBJECTIVE AND ACTIONS 2020-2024

Consideration was given to the report which having previously reported to the Policy and Resources Scrutiny Committee on 25th February 2020 and Cabinet on 11th March 2020 now sought Council approval on the draft Strategic Equality Plan.

The Public Sector Equality Duty in Wales requires all public authorities to develop and publish a Strategic Equality Plan every four years. The Strategic Equality Plan 2020-2024 has undergone a full revision in order to reflect changes in best practice and objectives and to provide additional information. The combined Objectives and Action Plan have been developed to take achievements and progress into account and merged them into one operational document.

It was noted that the Plan has been developed so that the Council can set out how it aims to meet its commitment to equality and how it will meet its legal obligations contained within the Equality Act 2010. We have used key documents to help develop the revised plan such as the Corporate Plan 2018-2023 and Education's Shared Ambitions Strategy as well as information provided by Service Managers.

Members noted that feedback on the consultation process was very supportive of the draft objectives overall. Areas for improvement and barriers identified had been included as actions under each relevant Equality Objective. The Strategic Equality Plan 2020-2024 and associated actions; will ensure that the Council has a fit-for-purpose document which demonstrates compliance with the latest statutory Equalities and Welsh Language duties. It has been developed in line with legislative requirements and guidance produced by the Equality & Human Rights Commission.

Having been moved and seconded and for the reasons given in the Officers report by way of Microsoft Forms and verbal confirmation the recommendation contained therein was unanimously agreed.

RESOLVED that the Draft Strategic Equality Plan 2020-2024 be adopted as Council policy.

15. NUMBER OF SCRUTINY COMMITTEES

Consideration was given to the report which outlined the findings of the Members survey carried out twelve months after the implementation of the current scrutiny committee structure

and had also been considered by the Scrutiny Leadership Group at its meeting on the 15th September 2020.

Members were asked to note that some of the comments arising from the survey included better attendance at both formal meetings and pre-meeting and that a full review of the scrutiny functions be undertaken in due course.

The Cabinet Member referred to the points raised within the survey in relation to attendance levels at scrutiny and full participation of Members and emphasised how important this was, and this sentiment was echoed by the Leader of the Plaid Cymru Group and Chair of the Scrutiny Leadership Group.

Having fully considered the report and its content and for the reasons contained therein it was moved and seconded that the recommendation in the report be approved and by way of Microsoft Forms and verbal confirmation (in noting that there were 50 for and 1 abstention) this was agreed by the majority present.

RESOLVED that: -

- (i) the report be noted;
- (ii) the current number of scrutiny committees (5) be retained.

16. DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE DURING THE PANDEMIC

The Mayor confirmed that the report was presented to Council for information purposes only and listed the decisions taken by the Chief Executive under delegated powers during lock down. Unless there were questions from Members the meeting would move on to the next item. As there were no questions and the report was noted for information.

17. GWENT CONTACT TRACING SERVICE

The Mayor confirmed that the report was considered as an urgent item by Cabinet on the 30th July 2020 and under the requirements of the Constitution any decision taken as a matter of urgency must be reported to the next available meeting of Council, giving the reasons for its urgency. Therefore, Council was asked to note the reasons for the report's urgency and the Cabinet Decision.

The report was so noted.

18. CAERPHILLY HOMES INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL – LLANFABON DRIVE, TRETHOMAS, THE CRESENT, TRECENYDD AND OAKDALE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL

The Mayor confirmed that the report was considered as an urgent item by Cabinet on 9th September 2020 and under the requirements of the Constitution any decision taken as a matter of urgency must be reported to the next available meeting of Council, giving the reasons for its urgency. Therefore, Council was asked to note the reasons for the report's urgency and the Cabinet Decision.

A Member expressed his disappointment in the design of the proposed development at The Crescent, Trecenydd, which he felt to be dull, boring and lacked imagination. The Cabinet Member for Housing and Property refuted the concerns raised and felt that the proposal was in fact exciting, bold and imaginative. The Member was reminded that this matter had still to

be considered by the Planning Committee and that would be the most appropriate juncture to address any issues or concerns about the design proposals.

The report was so noted.

The meeting closed at 7:30pm

Approved as a correct record and subject to any amendments or corrections agreed and recorded in the minutes of the meeting held on 17th November 2020 they were signed by the Mayor.

MAYOR